SmithNephew

Orthopedic surgery:

Hip and knee arthroplasties

The PICO System is the first Negative Pressure Wound Therapy System, to be indicated to aid in the reduction of the incidence of both superficial and deep incisional SSIs for high risk patients in Class I and II wounds, post-operative seroma and dehiscence when used on closed surgical incisions*

*PICO 7/14 sNPWT, for up to 7 days of therapy.

A recent RCT found that patients undergoing primary hip and knee arthroplasties saw a reduction in superficial surgical site complications, compared to standard of care, helping to significantly reduce length of hospital stays.¹

PICO^O

Single Use Negative Pressure Wound Therapy System



per patient^{†1,10}

NPWT has multiple mechanisms of action that can help improve the speed, strength and quality of incisional wound closure.²



Case Studies with PICO⁽⁾ System

Case 1: Knee replacement

Background8

74-year old female with type II diabetes and arthritis, underwent her third knee replacement procedure following recurrent infections.

PICO intervention

- Patient developed a dehiscence and 14 days post-surgery the incision site failed to show signs of improvement
- The decision was made to apply the PICO System. After seven days of treatment with PICO sNPWT, the progression of the surgical wound was favorable
- All of the staples were removed, the PICO System was removed and the patient was discharged

Individual results will vary | When PICO sNPWT is used on infected wounds, more frequent dressing changes may be required. Regular monitoring of the wound should be maintained to check for signs of infection.

Beginning of treatment Application

Progression after 7 days



Case 2: Hip implant

Background9

A 53-year old man suffering from osteoarthritis had surgery for a hip implant. His wound, closed by suture and Steri-StripsTM measured 17.5cm x 0.5cm.

PICO intervention

- He was given a PICO System with the dressing measuring 10cm x 30cm
- A routine dressing change was performed on day three
- At this point, his wound was progressing to closure with no infection and light exudate
- The patient remained comfortable, although on day five, some bruising was noted around the lower aspect of the dressing, which remained for a few days
- At the routine dressing change on day ten, the wound was found to be closed
- Overall the clinician was very satisfied with the treatment

Individual results will vary | Sharp edges or bone fragments in a wound must be covered or removed prior to using PICO sNPWT due to the risk of puncturing organs or blood vessels while under negative pressure.



Wound on day 3, after application



Progression after 10 days



Key studies to reference:

Karlakki et al., (2016) Incisional Negative Pressure Wound Therapy dressings (iNPWTd) in routine primary hip and knee arthroplasties: a randomized control trial

Nherera et al., (2017) Cost-effectiveness analysis of single-use negative pressure wound therapy dressings (sNPWT) to reduce surgical site complications (SSC) in routine primary hip and knee replacements



More ways to learn about PICO:

Customer Care/NPWT Clinical Hotline: 1-800-876-1261

Reimbursement Hotline: 866-988-3491

www.possiblewithpico.com

Important Safety Information

The information herein is intended for healthcare professionals. The PICO pumps contain a MAGNET. Keep the PICO pumps at least 4 inches (10 cm) away from other medical devices at all times. As with all electrical medical equipment, failure to maintain appropriate distance may disrupt the operation of nearby medical devices. Please see Instructions for Use (IFU) for indications, contraindications, warnings, precautions and other important information.

References: 1. Karlakki L, et al. Incisional negative pressure wound therapy dressings (iNPWT) in routine primary hip and knee arthroplasties: A randomized controlled trial. Bone & Joint Research (2016) Vol 5 (Issue 8): pp 328-337 doi:10.1302/2046-3758.58.BJR-2016-0022.R1. 2. Gomoll AH, Lin A, Harris MB. Incisional vacuum-assisted closure therapy. J Orthop Trauma. 2006;20(10):705-709. 3. Lumb H. Bacterial barrier testing (wet-wet) of PICO dressing with a 7 day test duration against 5. marcescens. 4. Wilkes RP, Kilpad DV, Zhao Y, Kazala R, McNulty A. Closed incision management with negative pressure wound therapy (CIM): biomechanics. Surg Innov. 2012;19(1):67-75. 5. Karlakki S, Brem M, Giannini S, Khanduja V, Stannard J, Martin R. Negative pressure wound therapy for management of the surgical incisions in orthopaedic surgery: A review of evidence and mechanisms for an emerging indication. Bone Joint Res. 2013;2(12):276-284. 6. Canonico S, Campitiello F, Della Corte A, et al. Therapeutic possibilities of portable NPWT. Initial multidisciplinary observations with the negative pressure therapy device. Acta Vulnol. 2012;10(2):57-66. 7. Selvaggi F, Pellino G, Sciaudone G, et al. New advances in negative pressure wound therapy (NPWT) for surgical wounds of patients affected with Crohn's disease. Surg Technol Int. 2014;24:83-89. 8. PICO Case Study Book PCCE-01-0511-NAE 9. Lerate PR, de la Corte PM. Application of the PICO Single Use Negative Pressure Wound Therapy system to prevent complications from the surgical wound for at-risk patients. Data on file; 2013: case study 45208. 10. Nherera LM, Trueman P, Karlakki SL. Cost-effectiveness analysis of single-use negative pressure wound therapy dressings (SNPWT) to reduce surgical site complications (SSC) in routine primary hip and knee replacements. Wound Repair Regen. 2017;25(3):474-482. Available at: Wound Repair and Regeneration.

